

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Attorney

Durost, Linda K. (for Administrator Pamela Webb)

Probate Status Hearing Re: the Filing of the First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution

Tiobale sidios ii	earing ke: the riling of the rirst Account an	
DOD: 3/24/13		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		OEE CALENDAR
		OFF CALENDAR
0 1 1 100015		First and Final Account filed 1/28/16
Cont. from 120915		is set for hearing on 3/9/16
Aff.Sub.Wit.		3 1, 1,
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		Reviewed by: 3RC
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 6 – Lawler

Imani Byrd (GUARD/P)
Coleman, LaQuesha (Pro Per – Step-Mother – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

		See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
	nt. from 060315, 815, 072215		Note: On 1/26/16, Petitioner filed a request to continue this hearing because she has been informed that the minor has been placed with his older sister and she has not been served.	
~	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of	= = = = =	As previously noted: This minor is a ward of the Juvenile Delinquency Court. Therefore, this Probate Court does not have jurisdiction to grant a temporary or general guardianship for this minor. Petitioner may wish to pursue placement in the proper Court.	
	Aff.Mail		If this petition goes forward, the following issues exist:	
	Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc.	=	2. Need Child Information Attachment (GC-210CA).	
	Pers.Serv.	_	3. Need Notice of Hearing.	
\parallel $^{\star}\parallel$	Conf. Screen		4. Need proof of personal service of	
╟	Letters	=	Notice of Hearing with a copy of the	
~	Duties/Supp		petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §1511 on: - Minor Imani Byrd	
	Objections			
	Video		- Mother (Name not provided)	
	Receipt		5. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the petition at	
	CI Report			
	Clearances	=	least 15 days prior to the hearing per	
	Order		Probate Code §1511 on the grandparents who did not waive notice.	
			 Notice to the minor's juvenile probation officer / County of Fresno may also be required. 	
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc	
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 1/28/16	
~	UCCJEA		Updates:	
	Citation		Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice		File 12- Byrd	

Case No. 15CEPR00936

Attorney

Barton, Robert (of Los Angeles, CA, for Petitioner Nicole Ballard)

Petition for Order: (1) Domesticating Petitioner's Minnesota Adult Guardianship Order; (2) Removing Teng Yang as Trustee; (3) Appointing Guardian Nicole Ballard as Successor Trustee; and (4) Transferring Situs of Trust to Minnesota

Ag	e: 21		EX PARTE ORDER HOLDING FUNDS IN	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOB: 12/29/93			ABEYANCE PENDING HEARING was granted	,
			9/25/15.	Continued from 12/17/15. As
				of 1/28/16, nothing further has
	nt. from 11031		NICOLE BALLARD, Guardian of the Person as	been filed.
121715		,	appointed in Hennepin County, MN, is	Note: Ouder on Ev Banto
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Petitioner.	Note: Order on Ex Parte Application for Order Holding
~			Petitioner states the PAO VUE XIONG SPECIAL	Funds in Abeyance Pending
Ě	Verified		NEEDS TRUST was established 2/17/00 in Fresno	Hearing on the Petition was
	Inventory		Superior Court Case 0627917-8 (Pao Vue	entered 9/25/15 and requires
	PTC		Xiong, et al, v. National Railroad Passenger	that "Wilton (formerly CAN
-	Not.Cred.		Corporation, et al.) following a train accident	Structured Settlements),
~	Notice of		in Fresno, CA, which left Pao permanently	Custodian of Settlement
	Hrg		disabled. Pao's mother, TENG YANG , was	Funds" is ordered to hold any
	Aff.Mail	Χ	appointed trustee and continues to hold this	funds received for Pao's
	Aff.Pub.		title.	benefit pending this hearing.
	Sp.Ntc.		Pao and Teng moved to Minnesota in approx.	Note: Petitioner filed a
	Pers.Serv.		2012; however, situs of the trust has not been	Supplement to the petition on
	Conf.		transferred to Minnesota.	12/9/15.
	Screen			
	Letters		Petitioner and Teng were were appointed as	<u>SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</u>
	Duties/Supp		Co-Guardians of Pao on 4/12/12.	
	Objections		Petitioner states Teng has not been involved in	
	Video		Pao's care on a consistent basis and is difficult	
	Receipt		to contact. Teng has not given any of the trust	
	CI Report		money to Pao since August 2014. On 9/10/15,	
	9202		Teng was removed as co-guardian and	
	Order	Χ	Petitioner was appointed sole guardian of the	
	Aff. Posting		person in Hennepin County, MN, Case No. 27-	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		GC-PR-12-53.	Reviewed on: 1/28/16
	UCCJEA		Pao resides in Mendota Heights, MN. Petitioner	Updates:
	Citation	resides in St. Paul, MN. Tena's last known		Recommendation:
FTB Notice			residence was in Minneapolis, MN; however, it	File 15 – Xiong
			is unknown if she actually resides there.	
			SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	

Page 2

Petitioner states as trustee, Teng agreed to hold the following property for the benefit of Pao:

- a) \$25,506.89, which was used to set up the trust;
- b) \$650/month in aid, which was paid to the trust until Pao turned 18;
- c) \$1,643.06/month in aid, which would continue for Pao's life with 20 years guaranteed, commencing 12/20/11 and increasing at 2% compounded interest annually.

Petitioner requests an order domesticating Petitioner's Minnesota Adult Guardianship Order pursuant to Probate Code §2013. (Examiner's Note: This section is effective 1/1/16. See issues.)

Petitioner requests Teng be removed as trustee pursuant to Probate Code §15642. Petitioner states Teng has committed a breach of trust by failing to make distributions or act as trustee since August 2014. Despite Petitioner's attempts, she has been unable to locate or communicate with Teng in over a year. The trust makes it clear that there exist basic living needs that public benefit programs for the disabled may not provide. Thus, it is vitally important that Pao continue to receive such additional services to meet these needs in order to maintain an adequate level of human dignity and humane care. Because Teng's failure to provide distributions leads to an inadequate level of human dignity and care for Pao, the Court has good cause to remove her as trustee.

Petitioner requests appointment as successor trustee. Petitioner states the named successor trustee, Tong Houa Xiong, Father of Pao, is incarcerated and unable to act as successor trustee. Petitioner is a professional fiduciary and Pao's guardian and is the best person to serve in this capacity and consents to serve as trustee.

Petitioner requests to transfer the situs of the trust to Hennepin County, Minnesota pursuant to Probate Code §2802(c) (transfer of personal property out of state) and Probate Code §17401 and §17404 (transfer of trust property or place of administration). Petitioner states Pao resides in Mendota Heights, Minnesota. Because Pao is permanently disabled and the purpose of the trust is to provide Pao with necessary supplemental funding to ensure his proper care, it would be in the best interest of the trust to be located in the jurisdiction in which he resides. Transferring the place of administration would not violate any terms of the trust.

Petitioner prays as follows:

- 1. For an order domesticating Petitioner's Minnesota guardianship order to California;
- 2. For an order removing Teng Yang as trustee of the trust;
- 3. For an order appointing Nicole Ballard as successor trustee of the trust;
- 4. For an order transferring the trust property and place of administration to Hennepin County, State of Minnesota: and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Page 3 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: (Petitioner's responses to each issue are below in italics.)

Need Petition and Order Appointing Petitioner as Guardian Ad Litem for Pao Vue Xiong. Petitioner
does not have standing as guardian of the <u>person only</u> to bring this petition on behalf of the
beneficiary without appointment as Guardian Ad Litem in <u>this matter</u> pursuant to Probate Code
§1003. See Judicial Council Forms GC-100 and GC-101.

<u>Supplement filed 12/9/15 states</u> Petitioner has standing as an interested party and fiduciary and believes appointment as GAL would unnecessarily deplete the already limited resources of the trust, further delay proceedings, and is unlikely to lead to any other results because the mother is unreachable. Petitioner has attached as Exhibit A an ex parte GAL application if the Court feels it is necessary.

<u>Examiner's Note</u>: An attachment to a declaration does not constitute a filed petition. The GAL should be properly filed.

2. Petitioner requests Registration of Order of Conservatorship under Probate Code § 2011. Per code commentary, the purpose of registration is to facilitate enforcement of conservatorship orders of other states or to facilitate real estate or care transactions in California. This does not appear to be the case here, so it is unclear what the purpose of registration would be, unless it is simply for standing for the filing this petition. If so, appointment as GAL is necessary as noted above.

Regardless, such registration should be filed as a separate case pursuant to Local Rules 7.1.2 and 7.19, and will be subject to a separate filing fee, separate notice, and filing of documents as follows:

- Judicial Council Cover Sheet (beginning 1/1/16);
- Certified copies of Order Appointing Guardian and Letters per Probate Code §2011;
- Duties of Conservator (GC-348) per Probate Code §§ 2015, 1834, 1835;
- Service of Notice of Intent to Register Conservatorship on the Court supervising the conservatorship (County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota District Court, Fourth Judicial District, Probate-Mental Health Division), every person who would be entitled to notice of a petition for the appointment of a conservator in Minnesota and in California (see Probate Code §1821 et seq.).

<u>Supplement filed 12/9/15 states</u> Although Petitioner believes she has standing under Probate Code §48(c), Petitioner seeks registration in an abundance of caution to solidify the issue of standing in California.

3. It appears this special needs trust was established in 2000 within the minor's compromise in Fresno Superior Court Case No. 0627917-8, but the trust itself was never properly filed in the Probate Court for review and continuing Court supervision, as required for special needs trusts. The trust does not require bond or any accounting by the trustee and is missing substantially all the language and provisions required for special needs trusts in California.

At this time, the trust has now been brought under the jurisdiction and supervision of this Probate Court and the Court will require compliance with Local Rule 7.19, which includes bond and probate accounting. No payment of fees to attorneys or trustee may be made without prior Court approval.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Case No. 15CEPR00936

Page 4 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Cont'd):

4. The Court may require clarification of how payments were made and distributed and used prior to August 2014 or accounting by the trustee or custodian pursuant to Cal. Rule of Court 7.903(c) and Probate Code §§ 1060 et seq., and 2620, et seq.

Re #3 and #4 above: Supplement filed 12/9/15 states Petitioner has no objections to the Court's inquiry as to how payments have been made prior to August 2014. Counsel for Petitioner has been working with counsel for the custodian of settlement, Wilton RE Holdings Ltd., to determine the facts surrounding prior distributions and payments. However, Wilton's records only show that checks prior to August 2014 were cashed, not how the funds were expended. Because the former trustee is missing, no information is available to Petitioner. Wilton advises it holds funds for Pao's benefit (and therefore payable to the SNT) in the amount of \$27,761.32 comprised of monthly payments from August 2014 – November 2015.

- 5. Petitioner requests transfer of the trust situs to Minnesota; however, the Court may require further authority for transfer of the trust situs to Minnesota and may retain jurisdiction for the purpose of accounting pursuant to Probate Code §2630.
- 6. If Petitioner is appointed as successor trustee, the Court will require bond pursuant to Probate Code §§ 15602, 2620 et seq., and Cal. Rule of Court 7.903(c). Based on the allegation that the trust consists of monthly income of at least \$1,643.06, increasing by 2% annually, beginning 2011, examiner calculates the current monthly income at approx. \$1,778.50, which would require bond including cost of recovery of \$23,476.20. (This assumes there income only, no principal.)

<u>Supplement filed 12/9/15</u> states if bond is required, Petitioner shall post bond accordingly; however, because the trust situs is likely to be transferred to Minnesota, Petitioner requests that no bond be required.

7. Petitioner states she is a professional fiduciary. The Court may require license information. For an example of the information that may be required, see Judicial Council Form GC-210(A-PF) Professional Fiduciary Attachment to Petition for Appointment of Guardian or Conservator.

<u>Supplement filed 12/9/15</u> states Minnesota does not require that its professional fiduciaries be licensed, but does required a background study. Attached is Ms. Ballard's background study and resume.

8. Need proposed order pursuant to Local Rules 7.1.1.F and 7.6.

<u>Supplement filed 12/9/15</u> attaches a proposed order.

<u>Examiner's Note</u>: The Court requires that a proposed order, along with copies to conform, be lodged prior to the hearing for signature and filing if granted. Attaching to the filed supplement is not sufficient.